

Section 1 Strengths:

Applicant Name:	Centre for Homeownership W/ Haven Developers
Proposal Number:	RFP #19-027
Reviewer Name:	Scoring Team
Date of Review:	5/22/2019
Date of Revised Review:	

Section 1. Organizational Expertise (25 points)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Have successful prior experience in similar projects,	
have no unfavorable prior experience with the County and have the financial	
capacity to absorb unanticipated costs and budget overruns.	
1. <u>Development Experience: – 10 points</u>	6
 Applicants' prior experience with development of properties similar to proposed project, including acquisition, rehabilitation, construction, marketing, and leasing, and managing of affordable rental housing 	
 Number of affordable projects/units / Supportive Housing units previously built and/or managed 	
 Prior experience in supportive housing – development, property management and supportive services 	
2. <u>Staff Experience: - 5 points</u>	
 Qualifications and experience of proposed staff and strength of the development team. 	4
3. <u>Finance Experience: - 10 points</u>	
Overall financial condition and the applicant's ability to fund potential cost overruns or other costs not anticipated in the project budget	7
Three years of operating statements (if applicable)	
 Experience securing and administering government funding, including local, state, and federal resources and programs 	
Applicant has access to third party sources of funding	
Reviewer Score out of 25	17/25



Section	1 2. Supportive Services (15 points)	Points
Housin strong	rongest proposals will: Have successful prior experience in Supportive ng projects and with individuals experiencing homelessness as well as a and varied offering of supportive services for the proposed project and ive and thoughtful design features.	
1.	Experience: – 3 points	
	 Applicant's prior experience in financing, owning and/or operating Supportive Housing 	1.5
	• Property management's experience in working with people experiencing homelessness and support service providers — demonstrate understanding of property managers role	
	Tenant selection plan consistent with County goals	
2.	Services: – 7 points	6
	 Detailed description of services the Applicant will make available to the residents through partnerships, contracted services, and/or staff. This description will include a breakdown of onsite services and offsite services with an estimation of dedicated service hours and/or FTEs for residents of PSH units. 	
	• Financial plan demonstrates how they will successfully approach supportive services provision (from pro forma) as well as address sustainability in future years.	
	 Approach to community integration and connections to services is consistent with County goals 	
3.	Affordability: — 3 points	2
	• Alignment of secured rental assistance target population subsidies with proposed services.	
	Demonstrates how project will address affordability of units	
4.	Design: - 2 points	2
	• Design features that may enhance quality of life for the residents (as well as the community), i.e. a community garden (other examples — community room, library, supportive employment opportunities on site)	
	Reviewer Score out of 15	11.5 /15

Section 2 Strengths:		

Section 2 Weaknesses:		



Section 3 Strengths:

Section 3. Location Information (15 points max)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Provide transportation accessibility or options, no	
more than 1 mile to grocery stores, medical facilities and other amenities, and be	
consistent with HUD Site & Neighborhood requirements.	
1. <u>Community accessibility: – 8 points</u>	5
• Convenient and close access to local transit or proposed alternative and options for modes of transportation (walkable, bike-able, transit, etc.)	
Proximity to medical facilities appropriate for PSH	
 Proximity to grocery store(s), pharmacies, and other amenities 	
Opportunities for community integration	
2. <u>Community vitality: – 7 points</u>	6
Proximity to employment and training opportunities	Ü
Evidence of public/private revitalization efforts in the area	
 Consistent with HUD Site & Neighborhood requirements regarding racial and poverty concentrations (details can be found at 	
https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/24/891.125)	
Reviewer Score out of 15	11/15

Section 3 Weaknesses:		
section 5 Weaknesses.		



Section 4 Strengths:

Section 4. Project Information (25 points)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Have defined scope of work and innovative design and site plans with detailed cost estimates, have the appropriate mix of units, and have a strong commitment to a Housing First approach in both operations and applicant screening/selection process.	
 1. Project scope: - 10 points A defined scope of work including preliminary design plans and site plans. Exceeds minimum of 25 units committed to familiar faces (minimum of 20% of 	8
 units) 2. Tenant mix: - 5 points Extent to which the property serves a mix of incomes and includes no more than 50% units targeted for supportive housing 	<u>4</u>
 Housing elements: - 10 points Consistent with quality supportive housing elements such as role of coordinated entry and plan for integration and second change program/tenant eviction avoidance strategies 	<u>9.5</u>
 Designed to affirmatively further Fair Housing Detailed description of how a Housing First, low barrier model will be operationalized in the project to include documentation of the applicant screening and selection/non-selection process 	
 Innovative Approaches to the design and services provided Energy efficiency / sustainable practices Site control documentation Detailed cost estimates 	
Reviewer Score out of 25	23.5/25

Section 4 Weaknesses:		



Section 5. Financial Analysis (15 points max)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Present strong financial feasibility, have comparable	
per unit costs to similar projects, and a solid 30-year financial operating plan.	
1. <u>Capital Plan: – 8 points</u>	
 Demonstration that the development is feasible in terms of cost, sources and uses, and financial thresholds. 	7
 Construction Cost per unit and Total Cost per unit relative to similar properties submitted under this RFP and in the marketplace. 	
 Proforma income and expense reasonableness; debt coverage above 1.20 	
2. Operating Plan: - 7 points	7
• 30-year financial plan for operating the project — includes support for property management, property maintenance/upkeep, supportive services , unit repair plan	,
Reviewer Score out of 15	14 /15

Section 5 Weaknesses:	Section 5 Strengths:
Section 5 Weaknesses:	
	Section 5 Weaknesses:



Section 6. Community Considerations (5 points max)		
The strongest proposals will: Demonstrate that the development has integrated		
community considerations into the design, operations and services provision of		
the project. (See description in Part IV, Section E)		
1. <u>Considerations: – 5 points</u>	4	
Design Aesthetics and Amenities		
• Location		
Unit Mix		
Partnership Opportunities		
Social Determinants of Health		
Reviewer Score out of 5	4/5	

Section 6 Strengths:	
Section 6 Weaknesses:	



Overall Pro	posal	Score
-------------	-------	-------

Proposal Score Total	Reviewer Score
Section 1 Subtotal out of 25: Organizational Expertise	17/25
Section 2 Subtotal out of 15: Supportive Services	11.5/15
Section 3 Subtotal out of 15: Location Information	11/15
Section 4 Subtotal out of 25: Project Information	23.5/25
Section 5 Subtotal out of 15: Financial Analysis	14/15
Section 6 Subtotal out of 5: Community Considerations	4/05
TOTAL SCORE	81/100

	TOTAL SCORE	81/100
Overall Proposal Strengths:		
Overall Proposal Weaknesses:		
Overali 110 posat weaknesses.		
Questions About Proposal:		
I certify that I have reviewed the Proposal identified herein based		v and without any effort to benefit,
favor, or disfavor such Applicant by virtue of my personal or find	ancial interests.	

Signature:	Date:
6	