

Applicant Name:	CASA
Proposal Number:	RFP #19-027
Reviewer Name:	Scoring Team
Date of Review:	5/22/2019
Date of Revised Review:	

Section 1. Organizational Expertise (25 points)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Have successful prior experience in similar projects,	
have no unfavorable prior experience with the County and have the financial	
capacity to absorb unanticipated costs and budget overruns.	_
1. <u>Development Experience: – 10 points</u>	9
<ul> <li>Applicants' prior experience with development of properties similar to proposed project, including acquisition, rehabilitation, construction, marketing, and leasing, and managing of affordable rental housing</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Number of affordable projects/units / Supportive Housing units previously built and/or managed</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Prior experience in supportive housing – development, property management and supportive services</li> </ul>	
2. <u>Staff Experience: - 5 points</u>	4.5
<ul> <li>Qualifications and experience of proposed staff and strength of the development</li> </ul>	1.5
team.	
3. <u>Finance Experience: - 10 points</u>	7
<ul> <li>Overall financial condition and the applicant's ability to fund potential cost overruns or other costs not anticipated in the project budget</li> </ul>	
Three years of operating statements (if applicable)	
<ul> <li>Experience securing and administering government funding, including local, state, and federal resources and programs</li> </ul>	
Applicant has access to third party sources of funding	
Reviewer Score out of 25	20.5/25

Section 1 Strengths:		
C 4 4 XX I		
Section 1 Weaknesses:		



Section 2. Supportive Services (15 points)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Have successful prior experience in Supportive Housing projects and with individuals experiencing homelessness as well as a strong and varied offering of supportive services for the proposed project and attractive and thoughtful design features.	
1. Experience: – 3 points	1.5
<ul> <li>Applicant's prior experience in financing, owning and/or operating Supportive Housing</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Property management's experience in working with people experiencing homelessness and support service providers – demonstrate understanding of property managers role</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Tenant selection plan consistent with County goals</li> <li>Services: -7 points</li> </ul>	3
• Detailed description of services the Applicant will make available to the residents through partnerships, contracted services, and/or staff. This description will include a breakdown of onsite services and offsite services with an estimation of dedicated service hours and/or FTEs for residents of PSH units.	
• Financial plan demonstrates how they will successfully approach supportive services provision (from pro forma) as well as address sustainability in future years.	
<ul> <li>Approach to community integration and connections to services is consistent with County goals</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Affordability: - 3 points</li> <li>Alignment of secured rental assistance target population subsidies with proposed services.</li> </ul>	2
Demonstrates how project will address affordability of units	
<ul> <li>4. <u>Design: -2 points</u></li> <li>Design features that may enhance quality of life for the residents (as well as the community), i.e. a community garden (other examples – community room, library, supportive employment opportunities on site)</li> </ul>	1.5
Reviewer Score out of 15	8/15

Section 2 Strengths:		

Sect	tion 2 Weaknesses:			



**Section 3 Strengths:** 

Section 3. Location Information (15 points max)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Provide transportation accessibility or options, no more than 1 mile to grocery stores, medical facilities and other amenities, and be consistent with HUD Site & Neighborhood requirements.	
1. <u>Community accessibility: – 8 points</u>	7.5
<ul> <li>Convenient and close access to local transit or proposed alternative and options for modes of transportation (walkable, bike-able, transit, etc.)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Proximity to medical facilities appropriate for PSH</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Proximity to grocery store(s), pharmacies, and other amenities</li> </ul>	
Opportunities for community integration	
2. <u>Community vitality: – 7 points</u>	5
<ul> <li>Proximity to employment and training opportunities</li> </ul>	-
Evidence of public/private revitalization efforts in the area	
Consistent with HUD Site & Neighborhood requirements regarding racial and poverty concentrations (details can be found at	
https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/24/891.125)	12 5 / 15
Reviewer Score out of 15	12.5/15

ı	
I	Section 3 Weaknesses:
ı	



Section 4. Project Information (25 points)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Have defined scope of work and innovative design and	
site plans with detailed cost estimates, have the appropriate mix of units, and have	
a strong commitment to a Housing First approach in both operations and	
applicant screening/selection process.	
1. <u>Project scope: – 10 points</u>	0
<ul> <li>A defined scope of work including preliminary design plans and site plans.</li> </ul>	8
<ul> <li>Exceeds minimum of 25 units committed to familiar faces (minimum of 20% of units)</li> </ul>	
2. <u>Tenant mix: – 5 points</u>	
• Extent to which the property serves a mix of incomes and includes no more than 50% units targeted for supportive housing	4
3. Housing elements: – 10 points	6
<ul> <li>Consistent with quality supportive housing elements such as role of coordinated entry and plan for integration and second change program/tenant eviction avoidance strategies</li> </ul>	0
Designed to affirmatively further Fair Housing	
<ul> <li>Detailed description of how a Housing First, low barrier model will be operationalized in the project to include documentation of the applicant screening and selection/non-selection process</li> </ul>	
Innovative Approaches to the design and services provided	
Energy efficiency / sustainable practices	
Site control documentation	
Detailed cost estimates	
Reviewer Score out of 25	
	18/25

Section 4 Strengths:		

Section 4 Weaknesses:



Section 5 Weaknesses:

Section 5. Financial Analysis (15 points max)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Present strong financial feasibility, have comparable per unit costs to similar projects, and a solid 30-year financial operating plan.	
1. <u>Capital Plan: – 8 points</u>	5.5
<ul> <li>Demonstration that the development is feasible in terms of cost, sources and uses, and financial thresholds.</li> </ul>	
• Construction Cost per unit and Total Cost per unit relative to similar properties submitted under this RFP and in the marketplace.	
Proforma income and expense reasonableness; debt coverage above 1.20	
2. Operating Plan: - 7 points	3.5
<ul> <li>30-year financial plan for operating the project — includes support for property management, property maintenance/upkeep, supportive services, unit repair plan</li> </ul>	
Reviewer Score out of 15	9 /15
Section 5 Strengths:	



Section 6. Community Considerations (5 points max)	Points
The strongest proposals will: Demonstrate that the development has integrated	Tollies
community considerations into the design, operations and services provision of	
the project. (See description in Part IV, Section E)	
1. <u>Considerations: – 5 points</u>	4
Design Aesthetics and Amenities	
• Location	
Unit Mix	
Partnership Opportunities	
Social Determinants of Health	
Reviewer Score out of 5	4 /5
Section 6 Strengths:	
Section 6 Weaknesses:	





Overall P	oposal	Score
-----------	--------	-------

Proposal Score Total	Reviewer Score
Section 1 Subtotal out of 25: Organizational Expertise	20.5/25
Section 2 Subtotal out of 15: Supportive Services	8/15
Section 3 Subtotal out of 15: Location Information	12.5/15
Section 4 Subtotal out of 25: Project Information	18/25
Section 5 Subtotal out of 15: Financial Analysis	9/15
Section 6 Subtotal out of 5: Community Considerations	4/05
TOTAL SCORE	72/100

	TOTAL SCORE	72/100
Overall Proposal Strengths:		
Overall Proposal Weaknesses:		
O4: Al4 D1.		
Questions About Proposal:		
I certify that I have reviewed the Proposal identified herein based		without any effort to benefit,
favor, or disfavor such Applicant by virtue of my personal or find	ancial interests.	

Signature:	Date:	