



# Wake County

301 South McDowell Street  
Raleigh, NC

## Meeting Minutes Board of Commissioners

---

Tuesday, June 21, 2022

2:00 PM

Wake County Justice Center

---

### Regular Meeting

#### Meeting Called to Order: Chair Sig Hutchinson

Chair Hutchinson called the meeting to order.

#### Pledge of Allegiance

**Present:** 7 - Chair Sig Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Shinica Thomas, Commissioner Vickie Adamson, Commissioner Matt Calabria, Commissioner Maria Cervania, Commissioner Susan Evans, and Commissioner James West

Staff present: David Ellis, County Manager; Scott Warren, County Attorney; Duane Holder, Deputy County Manager; Ashley Jacobs, Deputy County Manager; Denise Foreman, Assistant County Manager; Emily Lucas, Deputy County Manager; Yvonne Gilyard, Deputy Clerk to the Board; Toni Womack, Senior Executive Assistant; Ben Canada, Assistant to County Manager; Jose Cabanas, Chief Medical Officer; Dara Demi, Chief Communications Director; and Ellen Meder, Communications Consultant.

Others present: Portia Johnson, Senior Executive Assistant; Tanika Cooper, Senior Executive Assistant; Keith Lankford, Planner III; Todd Taylor, Debt Manager; John Roberson, Solid Waste Director; Dr. Scott Ralls, President, Wake Technical College; Teresa Furr, Wake Soil and Water Conservation Director; Scott Bledsoe, President, Blue Force Technologies.

#### Invocation: Commissioner Susan Evans

#### Items of Business

1. Approval of Agenda

**Commissioner West moved, seconded by Commissioner Calabria, to the Approval of Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

2. Approval of the Minutes of the Work Session of May 9, 2022

**Commissioner Evans moved, seconded by Commissioner Adamson, to approve the Minutes of the Work Session of May 9, 2022. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

3. Recognition of Recent Awards

**Attachments:** [BOC Awards Recognition\\_06.21 Agenda Item Summary\\_DRAFT.docx](#)

Manager Ellis shared the recent awards.

#### **Wake County Tax Administration Receives International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) Certificate of Excellence**

Wake County Tax Administration has earned the Certificate of Excellence in Assessment Administration from the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). The Certificate of Excellence in Assessment Administration recognizes jurisdictions that utilize best appraisal and assessment practices in the workplace.

This challenging and rigorous program is a self-conducted evaluation of specific, accepted, assessment administration and appraisal practices, and the program requirements place a strong emphasis on teamwork and group achievement. Recognition from IAAO through the Certificate of Excellence in Assessment Administration is the highest professional honor for an assessment jurisdiction.

4. Retiree Recognition

**Attachments:** [Retiree Recognition 06.21.2022.docx](#)

#### **Retiree Recognition - Mr. David Ellis, County Manager**

The following employees will retire on July 1, 2022:

**Mary Baucom- Wake County Sheriff's Office**

- 29 years of service

**Sandy Carrington- Wake County Finance**

- 30 years of service

**Tyrone Freeman - Wake County Sheriff's Office**

- 30 years of service

**Toni Hampton - Health and Human Services**

- 37 years of service

**Sheila Hopkins - Wake County Finance**

- 30 years of service

**Scott Sefton - Wake County Sheriff's Office**

- 24 years of service

**Jane Sterner - Workforce Development**

- 24 years of service

## Consent Agenda

**Commissioner West moved, seconded by Commissioner Calabria, to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

5. Approval of FY2023 Funding Agreement with Alliance Health for Behavioral Health Services

**Attachments:** [Alliance Agreement Item Summary.docx](#)  
[Alliance Funding Agreement FY23.docx](#)

**that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the County Manager to enter into a funding agreement not to exceed \$19,229,050 with Alliance Health that provides funding for behavioral health services subject to terms and conditions acceptable to the County Attorney.**

6. Approval of the FY 2023 County Funding Plan for the Home and Community Care Block Grant

**Attachments:** [Agenda Item.docx](#)  
[Wake County HCCBG pkg FY23.pdf](#)

7. Approve Multi-year Electronic Payment Processing Services Contract with ACI Payments, Inc. for Fiscal Years 2023 through 2027

**Attachments:** [2022 agenda item - ACI.docx](#)

8. Wake County Public School System Multi-Year Master Lease Approval for Modular Contracts

**Attachments:** [06.21.22 WCPSS Mobile Lease Summary Legistar.docx](#)

9. FY 2022 Year-End Budget Revisions

**Attachments:** [FY22 Budget Revisions Agenda Item Summary.docx](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Communications.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 FDC.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Non-Departmental.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Environmental Services.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Transfers General Fund .pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Community Services.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Health and Human Services.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 CCBI.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Grants and Donations Fund.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Debt Service.pdf](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Major Facilities Fund.pdf](#)  
[CIP Budget Memo - FY 2022 Capital Fund - PGROS.pdf](#)

10. Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget to Appropriate Revenues and One-Time Expenditures for Affordable Housing

**Attachments:** [FY 2022 Budget Revision for Affordable Housing.docx](#)  
[Budget Memo - FY 2022 Non-Departmental.pdf](#)  
[CIP Budget Memo - FY 2022 Housing Capital Fund.pdf](#)

11. Approve Changes to Tip Fees at the South Wake Landfill and East Wake Transfer Station

**Attachments:** [Solid Waste Tip Fee Change.docx](#)

## General Public Comments

**12. General Public Comments**

**Attachments:** [Public Comments and Public Hearing Sign Up 6-21-22.pdf](#)

Ms. Gilyard, Deputy Clerk, shared the online comments.

Mr. Matthew Guilday, Raleigh, against the rezoning of Falls of the Neuse Road.

Ms. Anna Liddicoat, Raleigh, against the rezoning of Falls of the Neuse Road.

In person:

Ms. Susan Davenport, Cary, shared comments on the shortage of park staff during COVID. She shared comments on the pay of park staff and the differential pay increases for various staff. She shared comments on the compression study.

**Regular Agenda****13. Public Hearing to Rezone a portion of 9101 Falls of Neuse Road [PLG-RZ-002881-2021]**

**Attachments:** [Item Summary.docx](#)  
[Presentation.pdf](#)  
[Rezoning Petition v2.pdf](#)  
[Staff Report.docx](#)  
[Ordinance for Consistency.docx](#)  
[Ordinance for Approval.docx](#)  
[Opposition Petition v2.pdf](#)  
[Planning Board Minutes.docx](#)  
[Draft BOC Motions.docx](#)  
[Public Comments and Public Hearing Sign Up 6-21-22.pdf](#)

Mr. Keith Lankford, Planner III, shared the proposed zoning map.

He shared the rezoning request and stated purpose.

**Rezoning Request and Stated Purpose**

- To rezone a 0.604-acre portion of this 2.934-acre property from R-40W to CU-R-40 to allow for a technical correction of the outermost watershed boundary based upon a field verified topographic survey, and to authorize staff to submit the requested change to the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ).
- Possible future uses that have been mentioned are a café with a drive-through window and a multi-tenant retail/personal services building (but any rezoning decision must be based upon all possible

uses).

- The petitioner has voluntarily provided 6 conditions related to screening, placement of improvements, building height, lighting and limitations of future uses (20 eliminated) in an attempt to mitigate potential off-site impacts.

He shared the land use plan history.

#### **Land Use Plan History**

- 1970: General zoning was first applied to the northwestern portion of Wake County.
- 1984: Residential-40 Watershed and Residential-80 Watershed zoning districts were created and applied to the Falls Lake area (as well as to other water supply watershed areas within Wake County's zoning jurisdiction).
- The subject property is designated as Non-Urban Area/Water Supply Watershed on the Wake County General Classification Map.
- If the rezoning is approved by the Board of Commissioners (BOC) the designation for the 0.604-acre portion would be changed to Non-Urban Area.

He shared the Water Supply Watershed Regulations.

#### **Water Supply Watershed Regulations**

- For ease of administering water supply watershed regulations many planning jurisdictions use a roadway as the dividing line between watershed and non-watershed areas, even though the staff knows that the actual ridgeline may meander back and forth across the roadway.
- The thought is that the plus and minus areas on either side of the roadway/ridgeline generally net out and the overall watershed protection objectives are achieved.
- The planning staff has confirmed the acceptability of this philosophy with the state's water supply watershed protection program staff.
- Wake County complies with the state requirements via both a density provision **and** impervious surface limits, plus wider stream buffers.

He shared the zoning map.

He shared the aerial location map.

He shared the environmental features map.

He shared a map of the survey for the property.

He shared a map of the property with the honeycomb pattern.

He shared a map of the environmental contamination site.

He shared the land use plan consistency.

#### **Land Use Plan Consistency**

- Since this survey represents a refinement of the data (i.e.-the watershed boundary) that is already represented on the General Classification Map, the staff has deemed that the rezoning petition is consistent with the Land Use Plan (would remain NUA).
- The permissible range of uses, if the watershed declassification rezoning is approved, are reasonable for the area (as evidenced by their listing in the Permissible Use Table of section 4-11 of the UDO).
- The petitioner noted that, in their opinion, they comply with 2 stated goals of the Land Use Plan and noted the public benefit of the possible future uses.
- Goal # 2 “is to allow and “encourage growth close to municipalities” and discusses in the petition material the proximity of more intensive urban-type development across Falls of Neuse Road (a major arterial road).
- Goal # 10, “which is the County’s policy of “prevent[ing] contamination of and maintain[ing] the capacity of groundwater resources”.
- Possible future uses “would be consistent with the adjacent infrastructure, would create additional employment opportunities, and would provide goods and services that are needed and would be enjoyed by the surrounding properties”.

He shared the public input/outreach.

#### **Public Input / Outreach**

- The City of Raleigh planning staff made no comments in response to a March 17, 2022 e-mail including the petition materials requesting feedback.
- The NC Department of Environmental Quality staff was sent the petition materials on March 16, 2022 and has not expressed any concerns.
- The petitioner held neighborhood meetings on November 13, 2019 and January 7, 2020 to discuss the proposed rezoning and possible subsequent development.
- County Planning staff sent notification letters to all property owners (91) within 1,000 feet of the subject property and posted a sign for the rezoning along the Falls of Neuse Road frontage.

- In response, staff has received a few additional phone calls or e-mails.

He shared the neighborhood comments/concerns.

#### **Neighborhood Comments/Concerns**

- Current traffic issues in the area and increased traffic from the development.
- Possible speculative development of the property.
- Development of parking lot in the watershed.
- Whether the entire property should remain in the watershed.
- Light pollution from the parking lot lights.
- Hours of operation for the business on the property.
- Noise from the development.
- Loss of trees and buffer for noise and adverse visual impacts from I-540.
- Opportunity to express opinion about the rezoning and development to the County.
- Water runoff from the property onto neighboring parcels.
- Abandoned wells on the site.
- The possible presence of contaminants on the site.
- Concerns about an underground storage tank on the site and possible continued contamination.
- At the May 4th Planning Board meeting, some of the neighbors also submitted an opposition petition signed by approximately 140 area residents.
- A copy of the opposition petition was included in BOC packets.
- While some of the petition signers were from the two adjacent subdivisions, there were also some signers from the broader area and included residents from the opposite side of Falls of Neuse Road.
- The planning staff has attempted to address the various concerns as they have been raised by the neighbors.
- The petitioner has offered 6 conditions in an attempt to address some of the concerns that have been raised by the neighbors.

He shared the other considerations.

#### **Other Considerations**

- The site will be served by individual well and septic systems as Raleigh has indicated that they will not extend public water and sewer to the site, and they have no interest in annexing the site.
- A detailed traffic assessment was prepared and showed that traffic



generation would be 828 trips per day, with an AM peak hour of 71 trips and a PM peak hour of 55 trips.

- No formal TIA is required, however NCDOT will require one at the site plan stage given its proximity to the I-540 westbound on-ramp.
- The 828 new trips is a 1.6 percent increase over existing traffic, however an on-going NCDOT Falls of Neuse Road widening project should help improve traffic conditions (especially if the I-540 ramp meters are not brought back into service).
- Most subsequent uses would first require a LUPA to create an activity center and then a SUP from the Board of Adjustment via a public hearing after a detailed site plan review by staff to ensure compliance with all applicable regulations.

He shared the reasonableness and public interest.

#### **Reasonableness and Public Interest**

- For all the reasons noted above, the proposed rezoning (a technical correction of the outermost watershed boundary), the retention of the NUA designation, and the stated proposed uses, are reasonable, and appropriate for the area and are in the public interest.

He shared staff findings.

#### **Staff Findings**

1. The proposed CU-R-40 zoning is a refinement of the data that is already represented on the General Classification Map (i.e.--the outermost water supply watershed boundary), therefore the staff has deemed that the rezoning petition is consistent with the Land Use Plan.
2. The petitioner noted that the proposed rezoning complies with two stated goals of the Wake County Land Use Plan.
3. A detailed traffic assessment statement prepared by a professional traffic engineer indicated that the proposed development would generate less traffic than the threshold for a formal Traffic Impact Analysis and would increase traffic on the adjacent roadway by only 1.6 percent.
4. There is an on-going NCDOT project to widen Falls of Neuse Road within this immediate area that should help improve traffic conditions.
5. Most subsequent uses of the subject property would require the petitioner to first obtain a Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) via a separate public hearing process to create a new activity center at this location and then a Special Use Permit (SUP) via a public

hearing before the Board of Adjustment.

6. A detailed site plan must be approved by the appropriate county and state agencies prior to future development on the subject property to ensure compliance with all applicable regulations.
7. The City of Raleigh's planning staff has made no comment on the rezoning petition.
8. The Department of Environmental Quality staff has not indicated any issues or concerns with the proposed technical correction of the watershed boundary.
9. Wake County planning staff has received a number of concerns/objections from some of the surrounding property owners.

He shared Planning staff recommendation.

#### **Planning Staff Recommendation**

That the Wake County Board of Commissioners adopts the statement of consistency, reasonableness, Schools and Wake Tech General Obligation Bond Referenda for November 2022 Ballot and in the public interest; approves the zoning map amendment as presented; and authorizes staff to submit it to North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ).

#### **Planning Board Recommendation**

The Planning Board voted unanimously at their May 6, 2022, meeting that the zoning map amendment is consistent with the Land Use Plan, reasonable and in the public interest, and recommended that the Wake County Board of Commissioners adopts the zoning map amendment as presented and authorizes staff to submit it to NCDEQ.

Mr. Tom Wells, Vice-Chair of Planning Board and Mr. Asa Fleming, Chair of the Planning Board were in attendance.

Mr. Wells shared that the report was very detailed. He shared that the Planning Board received the petition, discussed use of the entire site, and had strong participation from the public. He shared that the survey was performed by a professional engineer and this is the first of three steps for this item.

Commissioner West shared reflections on checks and balances. He shared comments on protecting the watershed.

Commissioner Adamson shared comments on the six concessions for the developers. She asked about a follow through.

Mr. Lankford shared that the six conditions will remain with the land. The

only way it can be changed, it will be brought back to the Board.

Commissioner Adamson asked if there was a condition for dumping trash and how loud everything is and is it disturbing to the neighbors.

Mr. Lankford shared that this is not one of the six conditions. He shared that neighbors can file a noise ordinance.

Commissioner Adamson shared comments on the beauty of the property.

Commissioner Cervania shared comments on the vote today. She asked about the list of uses that will not be allowed and asked why that is not included.

Mr. Lankford shared that there are 20 uses that were not mentioned today. It is standard practice to exclude some uses are noted as inconsistent, they will consider any and all possible uses but conditions must be met.

Commissioner Cervania asked if possible to vote on rezoning that includes limited use.

Mr. Lankford shared that this is a conditional use property and the six conditions are: an 8 foot high fence, or combination of berm and fence; 8 percent of plant material must be mature along the wall/fence; 350 feet buffer from the Falls of Neuse right of way line; maximum building height limited to 25 feet; exterior lighting must be shadow box type fixture with cut off shielding to minimize glare.

Vice-Chair Thomas asked how many residents are on city water versus well water. Mr. Lankford shared that he does not have the exact number today. He shared the aerial map of where the residents live that receive city water.

Vice-Chair Thomas asked the location of the drainage. Mr. Lankford shared that the Honeycomb reflects the hilltop and the direction in which the water falls. He shared that a portion falls into Falls Lake. He shared that 2.3 acres drains into the watershed which is Falls Lake.

Vice-Chair Thomas asked does the widening affect Falls of Neuse. Mr. Lankford shared that the DOT has the land that they need to widen the road. She asked about retail and how can they ensure it doesn't drain into the watershed.

Mr. Lankford shared that the retail and cafe are not part of the watershed reclass. He shared that there are pre-laws of drainage and described the do's and don'ts of the pre-laws.

Vice-Chair Thomas asked if there was an activity center and how close. Mr. Lanford shared that it is 1 mile separation from the activity center.

Commissioner West asked the difference in the county's stormwater versus the City of Raleigh's stormwater.

Mr. Lankford shared feedback. He shared that the county is regulatory.

Chair Hutchinson asked for clarity of the request. He shared that the rezoning will not affect the neighbors.

Mr. Lankford shared that the land use plan and special use plans will come back to the Board for approval to make adjustments to the land. There are limited uses that will not have to be brought back to the Board. Administrative approval for lighting and similar conditions do not have to come back. He shared that the cafe is a subcategory and is not part of the vote today. If the land use is approved future uses like the cafe can be considered but it would require declassification in order to consider them. If approved today, churches, schools, libraries are included in the plan.

Chair Hutchinson opened the public hearing.

Mr. Gray Styers, 434 Fayetteville Street, representing Mr. and Mrs. Jackson, owners of the property, shared that Mr. Lankford served the owners diligently and provided all of the requested information. He shared that they thanked the Planning Board for the vigorous process. He shared that it is a technical correction that was done on the survey. It is a AA registered field survey that verifies that surface water runs away from Falls of Neuse. He shared that they will return to the board before commercial use.

Mr. Mark Phillips, Engineer, shared a packet with the Board. He pointed out page 4, the existing aerial imagery. He walked the Board through the packet made available to them today.

Ms. Julia Hardcastle, Raleigh, shared comments on the rezoning of Falls of Neuse and shared that she and other neighbors are against the rezoning.

Mr. Walt Jennette, shared that he is not in favor of the rezoning of Falls of Neuse project. He shared that the maps do not match.

Mr. Jarrett Davis, 940 Barton Street, shared the importance of well water. Against the rezoning of Falls of Neuse.

Ms. Lillian Overton, 8809 Stonegate Dr, Raleigh, shared comments on

contaminated soil. Not in favor of rezoning.

Dr. Sapna Varkey, Barton Creek Road, shared that this is a spot rezoning case. Not in favor of rezoning.

Ms. Hayes Finley, addressed neighbors comments for the Falls of Neuse site about contamination concerns. She shared that there is an investigation on hazardous materials contamination on this site which so far has come back showing that all wells tested are clean. She shared that the main issue today for rezoning is about the direction of water flow not contamination and should there be any future contamination issues that are discovered they will be addressed then.

Mr. Earl Page, professional engineer for 55 years, shared comments on the flow of the water to his driveway. He shared that the property is in the watershed. He shared that they do not need a strip mall. Not in favor of the rezoning.

Ms. Tammy Dyson-Ward, Stonegate Drive, shared that her property is directly affected by this rezoning. She shared that the water drains directly to her home and it's eroding her property. Not in favor of rezoning.

Chair Hutchinson closed the public hearing.

Mr. Lankford shared clarification on the slope.

Commissioner Adamson asked the role of Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) . She shared that she is not supporting this project today because she wants to ensure residents are protected from the noise. She shared that a noise ordinance will not do it. She shared that she is sensitive to the citizens request.

Mr. Lankford shared comments on the trash pickup and shared that he will ask for an amendment. He shared that he has spoken to the manager of DEQ and he had no issues. He shared that a crew performed well inspections and there were no issues.

Mr. Styers shared that he will work with the trash people for provisional conditions for limitations on hours. He shared that the only things that can be placed on this property is a church, school, government use, library, and golf course.

Commissioner Adamson asked for provisions to be added today.

Commissioner West shared additional comments and observations on the water flow. He shared that there are other things he would like to see

before voting in favor of this item.

Mr. Styers shared additional comments on site characteristics.

Commissioner Evans shared comments to homeowners and their concerns. She shared that data provided to her justifies the ask of the rezoning petition.

Commissioner Calabria shared comments on the maps not matching up and asked if maps showed water flow differently.

Mr. Lankford shared that he hasn't seen maps that showed different water flow. He shared comments on the breakpoint of the pipe situation. He shared that the 2.23 acres may cause erosion but it does not cause the problems that citizens are referencing.

Calabria asked Mr. Lankford to discuss the topography maps and share the phenomenon that may be occurring.

Mr. Lankford shared that the ground gets saturated and infiltrates. 80 percent of property drains to the West. The stormwater ordinance would control the runoff of the water.

Mr. Lankford shared additional comments on paperwork not being done properly, noise, vegetation, and soil. He shared that the State has not seen anything definitive yet.

Commissioner Calabria shared comments on the ask today of the approval of the petition. Density is not being changed. The W is a technical classification of the water flow direction.

Commissioner Cervania shared that at end of day, the Board wants to give landowners and citizens the best answer. She shared comments on the description of the W in the rezoning petition. She shared that she is also concerned about DEQ. She shared that she would like to table the petition for additional information.

Mr. Lankford shared additional comments about the drainage.

Commissioner Adamson asked Attorney Warren for clarification on the amendment.

Mr. Warren shared that an amendment being made to the motion should come from the landowner's representation as voluntarily offered. It could be done today, but it would need to be delayed for vote.

Vice-Chair Thomas shared that she knows what she is asked to do but

does not have enough information.

Mr. Warren shared that there is no motion on the table.

Commissioner Calabria asked what the Board will need moving forward so that everything is in place if it comes back.

Chair Hutchinson shared that there is no motion on the table and asked to table the item.

This item has been tabled until July 11, 2022.

Below are the requests by the Board for a follow up meeting:

Commissioner Adamson - where is DEQ and add language conferring with landowners attorney and not approved until DEQ responds, where is the water coming from per the neighbors.

Commissioner West - there is a stormwater issue.

Commissioner Cervania - when amended and if tabled, seeing the results from DEQ that include stormwater testing, aquafor testing, water run off and the initial site, how to access topographical of the slope.

Commissioner Calabria - the question is the direction of the flow, it would be helpful if staff can provide maps .

Commissioner Adamson - maps of the flow of water into resident's yards.

Chair Hutchinson - how will this change the destination of the impact of flooding.

Mr. Warren shared that no additional public hearing in July is required.

**Commissioner Calabria moved, seconded by Commissioner Adamson to table this item until July 11, 2022.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 6 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, and Commissioner West

**Nay:** 1 - Commissioner Evans

14. Schools and Wake Tech General Obligation Bond Referenda for November 2022 Ballot

**Attachments:** [20220621 Item Summary - Fall 2022 Referenda - Bond Orders; Reimb. Res; Stmt's filed w Clerk; Set Pub Hrg V3.docx](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 6 - Presentation on Fall 2022 Referenda.pdf](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 1 - Fall 2022 Referenda Calendar of Events.pdf](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 2 - Introduce Bond Orders and Reimbursement Resolution.DOC](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 3 - Notice of Publication of Public Hearing.pdf](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 4 - Sworn Statement of Debt.pdf](#)  
[20220621 Attachment 5 - Statement of Total Estimated Interest.pdf](#)

Mr. Todd Taylor, Debt Manager, shared the background for today's request.

### **Background For Today's Request**

- County is pursuing future issuance of General Obligation (GO) Bonds in order to fund respective future capital needs of Schools and Wake Tech
  - County has no remaining GO authorization for Schools or Wake Tech
  - 2018 Schools Bond of \$548 million funded project appropriations for FY20 & FY21
  - 2018 Wake Tech Bond of \$349 million funded project appropriations for FY20 to FY23
- GO Bonds represent the lowest cost long-term debt available to the County
- Before County can issue GO Bonds, voters must first approve a bond referendum
- Today's request is second of four required Board actions
- Prior action taken at the Board meeting held on June 6, 2022:
  - Adopted Findings Resolution
  - Directed publication of Notice of Intent to file application with Local Government Commission, and directed filing of same application

He shared relevant board goals.

### **Relevant Board Goals**

Proposed Referenda complements Key Strategic Action 4.1 of the Board's 2022 Education Goals:

*Plan for and execute a successful bond referendum for the Wake County Public School System and Wake Technical Community College*

He shared summary information.



**Summary Information**

**Proposed Referenda Amounts & Purposes**

WCPSS - Schools \$530.7 million Bond provides funding for certain FY2024 to FY2025 capital needs

WTCC - Wake Tech \$353.2 million Bond provides funding for certain FY2024 to FY2027 capital needs

He shared proposed GO Bond for schools.

**Proposed GO Bond for Schools**

**Schools Bond \$530.7 Million**

Schools Projects FY24 & FY25

\$ 274M New Schools

92M School Replacement

256M Program Requirements

42M Program Contingency & Program Management

\$664.7M Total

**County Funding FY24 & FY25**

**\$530.7M Bond**

143.0M Cash

\$644.7M Total

**Schools Projects for FY24 & FY25**

**New Schools**

- Pleasant Plains ES
- Bowling Road ES
- Wendell ES
- Parkside MS
- Unidentified HS

**School Replacement**

- Lockhart ES
- Brentwood ES
- Briarcliff ES
- Washington ES
- North Garner MS
- Ligon MS
- Athens Drive HS

**Program Requirements**

- Life Cycle Building

- Life Cycle Furniture
- Ed Equipment
- Environmental / ADA
- Technology Devices
- Technology infrastructure
- Security
- Temporary Classrooms
- Land Assessment
- Land Purchase
- Space Needs Analysis & Prioritization (SNAP)
- Partial Renovations & Improvement (PRIMP)

Chart showing Major Schools Projects that are Bond Funded, and a map with project locations.

He shared the Proposed GO Bond for Wake Tech.

#### **Proposed GO Bond for Wake Tech**

##### **Wake Tech Bond \$353.2 Million**

##### **Wake Tech Projects FY24 to FY27**

\$337.5M Major Projects

13.5M Minor Renovations & Repair

32.8M Infrastructure Upgrades

\$383.8M Total

##### **County Funding FY24 to FY27**

**\$353.2M Bond**

30.6M Cash

\$383.8M Total

##### **Wake Tech Projects for FY24 to FY27**

###### **Major Projects**

- New Health Sciences Building and Parking Deck
- General Education Building
- Culinary Science Building
- Cyber Science Building and Parking Deck

###### **Minor Renovation & Repair**

- Mechanical Equipment
- Building Automation Control
- Elevator Modernization
- Building Envelope Upgrades
- Electrical Replacement

- Life Safety Equipment

#### **Infrastructure Upgrades**

- IT Infrastructure
- Minor Capital
- Facility Alterations
- Card Readers
- Signage Upgrades
- Site Accessibility Upgrades
- Southern Loop Road (SWC)

He shared a chart showing how Major Wake Tech Projects are Bond Funded and a Map of Project Locations.

He shared the financial impacts.

#### **Necessary Tax Levy**

- County has contemplated issuance of all proposed GO referenda bonds for Schools and Wake Tech in its long-term financial model
- County projects a 1.0¢ tax levy is necessary in FY24 to support the proposed GO referenda for Schools and Wake Tech
  - .6 cent Tax Levy for Schools
  - .4 cent Tax Levy for Wake Tech
  - 1.0 cent Total Tax Levy

#### **Citizen Impact of 1.0¢ Tax Levy**

- 1.0¢ Tax Increase = Costs \$10 for each \$100k of Assessed Value
- Average Wake County Home Assessed Value in 2021 = \$337,000
- Impact of 1.0¢ Tax Increase on Annual Taxes for Average Wake County Home in 2021 = \$33.70

He shared the contingency planning.

#### **Contingency Planning**

- Should 2022 GO referenda fail
  - County could issue Limited Obligation Bonds (LOBs) at a slightly higher cost
  - Due to increased cost, County may have to adjust its level of support for Schools and Wake Tech respective capital programs and/or adjust its FY24 tax levy
- After the referendum staff would come back to Board to discuss options which may include:
  1. Reduced funding of Schools and Wake Tech capital programs
  2. Revised FY24 tax levy required to support Schools and Wake

- Tech capital programs
3. Some combination of 1 and 2

He shared the recommendation and next steps.

### **Recommendation and Next Steps**

For today's request County staff recommends the Board:

1. Introduces respective Bond Orders related to proposed Referenda;
2. Authorizes filing of Sworn Statement of Debt, and Statement of Estimated Interest with Board Clerk; and
3. Calls for a public hearing at the Board of Commissioners meeting on July 11, 2022 and directs the local publication of a Notice of Public Hearing

Next Board Action

July 11, 2022 Board Meeting - conduct public hearing; adopt bond orders; adopt resolution calling for referenda

Dr. Scott Ralls, Wake Tech President, thanked the commissioners and citizens for making Wake Tech the top 20 percentile in the country of community colleges. He shared that recent investments will allow Public Safety to do simulations. He shared that investments into Wake Tech will create a return in investments.

Commissioner Cervania asked how would the bond rating be affected if the referendum failed.

Mr. Taylor said they would perform additional analysis.

**Commissioner Evans moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Thomas, that the Board of Commissioners:**

- 1. Introduce Bond Order authorizing \$530,700,000 School bonds;**
- 2. Introduce Bond Order authorizing \$353,200,000 Community College bonds;**
- 3. Authorize resolution declaring intent to reimburse expenditures to be funded by proposed School or Community College bonds, as needed;**
- 4. Authorize filing of Sworn Statement of Debt, and Statement of Estimated Interest with Board Clerk; and**

**5. Call for a public hearing at the July 11, 2022, Board of Commissioners meeting and directs local publication of Notice of Public Hearing for same. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

**15. Public Hearing and Request from Greenway Waste Solutions of Apex, LLC for Amendment of Their Existing Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill Franchise (First Reading)**

**Attachments:** [BOC Write up 6-21-22.docx](#)  
[Presentation.pdf](#)  
[1 Transmittal Letter.pdf](#)  
[GWS Apex Franchise Revision Application Document Package \(1\).pdf](#)  
[John Brown Affidavit.pdf](#)

Mr. John Robeson, Solid Waste Director, shared C&D franchise background.

**C&D Franchise**

Four C&D landfills in Wake County (Map with locations)

1. Shotwell
2. Brownfield
3. Greenway
4. Red Rock

He shared Permitting versus Franchise.

**Permitting versus Franchise**

- NC DEQ is responsible for all landfill permitting under state/EPA guidelines/rules
- Wake County's C&D Franchise establishes:
  - Maximum annual tonnage to be buried by the facility
  - Locations (typically counties) from which waste can be received from
  - **Reviews "substantial amendment" to NC DEQ permit**
- After BOC review, DEQ conducts permitting process to review/approve associated permit changes
- It is important to note that Wake County Planning or associated municipality deals with zoning, special use permitting, etc.

He shared the franchise modification process.

**Franchise Modification Process**

- ✓ Submittal of package to Wake County Solid Waste Division
- ✓ Date of public hearing was advertised - May 20, 2022
- ✓ Notice(s) are posted by proponent (on-site, newspaper & package placed at local library)
- Public Hearing via BOCC with first vote - June 21, 2022
- Comes back to BOCC for a 2nd vote on Franchise Amendment (2 meeting requirement is a NCGS requirement) - TBD

He shared the Greenway request.

**Greenway Request**

- No changes to maximum tonnage received per year (currently at 300,030 tons per year)
- Accept C&D waste, in addition to Wake County, from Johnston, Harnett, Chatham, Durham, Granville, Franklin and Nash Counties
- By modifying the design of their landfill within their existing site, they are looking to increase the total tonnage that the site can hold

He shared a chart showing Status of Current C&D Franchises and map of proposed revisions and an aerial photograph of MRF Facility at Greenway Landfill in Mecklenburg County.

He shared subsequent NC DEQ process.

**Subsequent NC DEQ Process**

- If approved/changed by BOC
  - New “substantial amendment” permit required by NC DEQ
- As part of this new permit review, NC DEQ can request additional information as follows:
  - Additional environmental assessment
  - Traffic study
  - Environmental justice review
  - Financial assurance requirements
  - Others

Chair Hutchinson opened the public hearing.

Mr. John Brown, shared comments on the MFC. He shared that one will be opened in Wake County. He shared that landfills are not permitted and tonnage will be added by 16 percent. He shared background information on the South Wake landfill RFP.

Chair Hutchinson closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Calabria asked if standard notice of expansion was given for residents. He asked additional clarifying questions regarding odors.

Mr. Robeson shared that there is a notice in local newspaper and letter to property owners. He shared that landfills provide odors but odors have been dealt with in minimal process. The improvements were made last year. He shared it is difficult to determine the generator of the odor.

Mr. Brown shared that there were operational challenges and no regulatory requirement to do so. There was a recent DEQ request. They performed assessments and everything has been repaired.

There was additional discussion about recycling.

Commissioner Cervania asked what other waste is accepted at landfill. Shared that she asked for additional information pertaining to the request.

Mr. Brown shared comments from the General Statute and the types of waste that they collect.

**Commissioner Evans moved, seconded by Vice-Chair Thomas, that the Board of Commissioners**

**1. hold a public hearing and considers Greenway Waste Solutions of Apex, LLC (GWSA), request to amend their existing landfill franchise to increase the service area from Wake County to include Harnett, Chatham, Durham, Granville, Franklin, Johnston, and Nash counties, to allow them to request that NC Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) increase the overall tonnage that the facility can accept and to construct and operate a construction and demolition waste material recovery facility (MRF).**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

**16. Public Hearing and Consideration of Business Development Grant Agreement for Blue Force Technologies**

**Attachments:** [Blue Force Item Summary.docx](#)  
[Blue Force Presentation.pdf](#)  
[Blue Force Contract.docx](#)  
[Wake County Economic Development Policy.pdf](#)

Ms. Denise Foreman, Assistant County Manager, shared Blue Force Technologies.

### **Blue Force Technologies**

Blue Force is an aircraft manufacturer that will expand to Wake Forest. An industry leader in the design and manufacture of airframes and components for small aircraft up through spacecraft. The new facility will support their recent \$50 million contract with the US Air Force to design, build and test four *Fury* aircraft.

She shared the Blue Force Technologies Expansion.

### **Blue Force Technologies Expansion**

- Anticipate investing over \$3 million in facility
- Expect to create 125 engineering and manufacturing jobs with an average annual salary of \$81,000
- Wake County Economic Targeted Growth Area Tier
  - 35 percent of new tax growth over 5 years
  - Total Wake County incentive grant of approximately \$31,500
- Other partners include:
  - Town of Wake Forest
  - Wake Forest Business and Industry Partnership

Commissioner West asked what sector is this project.

Chair Hutchinson opened the public hearing.

Mr. Scott Bledsoe, President of Blue Force Technologies, shared history on Blue Force Technologies.

Chair Hutchinson closed the public hearing.

Vice-Chair Thomas shared her excitement for this economic development project.

Commissioner Cervania asked about the footprint.

Chair Hutchinson shared his excitement for the project as well.

Commissioner West asked who were the competitors.



Mr. Bledsoe named Boeing and others as competitors.

**Vice-Chair Thomas moved, seconded by Commissioner Cervania, that the Board of Commissioners**

**1. hold a public hearing and approves a Business Development Grant Agreement with Blue Force Technologies subject to the terms and conditions acceptable to the County Attorney.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

17. Wake County Farmland Preservation Program Ordinance

**Attachments:** [Farmland Item Summary](#)  
[Farmland Preservation Presentation](#)  
[Farmland Preservation Ordinance Draft V12.docx](#)  
[Farmland Senate Bill](#)

Ms. Teresa Furr, Wake Soil and Water Conservation Director, shared the Farmland Preservation Ordinance.

She shared the purpose and goals of ordinance.

**Purpose and Goals of Ordinance**

- I. Repeal and replace the “Wake County Voluntary Agricultural District Ordinance” to expand the County’s farmland preservation program and comply with SB 605.
- II. Promote agricultural values and the general welfare of Wake County.
- III. Promote agriculture as an integral part of the County’s economy.
- IV. Increase the identity and pride in the agricultural community.
- V. Encourage the economic and financial health of agriculture, horticulture, and forestry.
- VI. Decrease the likelihood of legal disputes, such as nuisance actions between farm owners and their neighbors.

She shared board goals and fiscal impact.

**Board Goals & Fiscal Impact**

- This action supports Objective ES 3.3 - strengthen County services

and policies that support agribusinesses. Potential efforts include farmland preservation, next generation farming, and promoting local food systems and agritourism.

- The Wake County Farmland Preservation Program Ordinance was created by receiving feedback and input from multiple internal departments and external stakeholders.
- A recurring commitment of \$4,000 beginning in FY 2024.
  - Wake County Agricultural Advisory Board per diem
  - Signage for Enhanced Voluntary Agricultural Districts
  - Deed recording fees

She shared the Farmland Preservation Ordinance.

### **Farmland Preservation Ordinance**

#### **NEW Enhanced Voluntary Agricultural District Program (EVAD)**

- Requires a 10-year irrevocable agreement.
- Must be recorded on deed.
- May renew for 3 years after initial period unless notice is given by landowner.
- May provide assessments for utilities provided by city or county be held in abeyance, with or without interest.

#### **Additional benefits to landowners include:**

- May receive up to 25 percent of its gross sales from the sale on non-farm products and still qualify as a bona fide farm that is exempt from zoning regulations under G.S. 153A-340(b).
- Eligible to receive higher percentage of cost share funds (90 percent) under the Wake Soil and Water Conservation District's Agricultural Cost Share Program.

#### **NEW Agricultural Conservation Easement Program**

- The Agricultural Advisory Board and Farmland Preservation Coordinator position will administer the new program as another voluntary option for landowners within the overall farmland preservation program.
- Staff will make recommendations to the Agricultural Advisory Board on selection of properties for donation of agricultural conservation easements or to seek state and federal grant funding for the purchase of agricultural conservation easements.
- The Soil and Water Conservation District in partnership with the Triangle Land Conservancy, may acquire agricultural conservation easements consistent with the new Farmland Preservation Ordinance.

She shared the summary.

**Summary**

The Wake County Voluntary Agricultural District Ordinance will be repealed and replaced with the Wake County Farmland Preservation Program Ordinance.

**The new ordinance will:**

- Meet the requirements of Senate Bill 605 adopted in July 2021.
- Add additional farmland protection programs:
  - Enhanced Voluntary Agricultural Districts (EVAD)
  - Agricultural Conservation Easement Program
- Support PLANWake goals of open space and farmland protection.
- Support BOC goal for economic strength through farmland protection, next generation farming, promoting local food systems and agritourism.

Vice-Chair asked for clarification referencing the advisory board.

Ms. Furr shared that the seven members are in place and they will move over to the Board of Commissioners appointments. They will serve two year terms, and recommendations will come from farm service agencies.

Commissioner Adamson shared comments about supporting farmers. She shared that the other 29 agencies have grants and asked the County Manager to share how this works and how it can help Wake County.

Ms. Furr shared that Soil and Water will be applying for grants in the future.

Manager Ellis shared that the item will be brought to a future committee meeting.

Chair Hutchinson shared comments about local farming and buying local.

**Commissioner West moved, seconded by Commissioner Adamson, that the Board of Commissioners**

**1. adopt the new Wake County Farmland Preservation Program Ordinance that repeals and replaces the December 5, 2016, Wake County Voluntary Agricultural District Program Ordinance.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

18. Complete 100% Final Design Documents for the Eastern section of the Triangle Bikeway

Attachments: [Triangle Parkway Item Summary 6.21.2022.docx](#)

Chair Hutchinson answered questions that the Board shared with him.

He shared the Triangle Bikeway Study.

**Complete 100 percent Final Design Documents for the Eastern section of the Triangle Bikeway**

Shared a map depicting the path of the bikeway.

The Triangle Bikeway, a proposed multi-use paved bikeway between Raleigh, Durham and RTP, is a component of the County-wide Greenway System Plan. The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO), The Durham Chapel Hill Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO) and its consultant McAdams recently completed the Triangle Bikeway Feasibility & Implementation Study including a preferred route and conceptual design for the Bikeway as a part of its Center of the Region Enterprise (CORE) plan.

At its March 14, 2022 work session, the Board of Commissioners asked staff to prepare an item that would allow staff to move forward with design development documents for the Triangle Bikeway.

Due to the regional nature of the Bikeway and its focus on transportation, it has been suggested that CAMPO manage the design process through completion.

Wake County has submitted a request to the U.S. Congress for additional funding for this project. A final determination of that funding may not be known until Fall of 2022.

**Additional Information**

A bikeway along Interstate I-40 between Raleigh and Durham has been discussed since the early 2000s and has appeared in past Triangle J Council of Governments' (TJCOG) Center of the Region Enterprise (CORE) plans and City of Raleigh Transportation Plans.

At its May 1, 2017 meeting, the Board of Commissioners approved the Wake County Greenway System Plan. The vision for the plan is to create a connected and comprehensive system of greenway trails and connections that enhances the quality of life throughout Wake County. This includes increasing connectivity for multi-modal transportation.

At its June 19, 2017 meeting, the Board of Commissioners approved a funding agreement with the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) that included budget appropriation of \$23,200 to complete a feasibility study for the Triangle Bikeway. The Bikeway was subsequently added to the Wake County Greenway System Plan in October 2017 at the completion of the study.

In 2019, CAMPO and DCHC MPO continued to develop the Triangle

Bikeway concept by selecting a consultant for a feasibility and implementation study. The firm of McAdams was selected to determine a preferred alternative route and conceptual design. This study is now complete and, in an effort to make the project more “shovel-ready”, and thus more competitive in future state or federal grant funding opportunities, it was recommended the County complete design development of the Eastern section of the Triangle Bikeway from I-440/Wade Avenue in Raleigh to the new transit hub on Davis Drive in Research Triangle Park in Durham County.

He shared background on his work on greenways as Mr. Greenjeans.

He shared the significance of the bikeway study.

He shared a list of recreational facilities around the proposed site.

He shared the public input.

He shared the commute: current vs desired.

He shared a list of supporters.

At its March 14, 2022 work session, the Board of Commissioners asked staff to prepare an agenda item that would allow Wake County to move the Triangle Bikeway forward to the construction phase. Based on work by McAdams during the Triangle Bikeway Feasibility & Implementation Study, the following work is required:

- NCDOT Control of Access Committee Approval
- NEPA Documentation
- Public Engagement
- Design
- Permitting
- Construction Cost Estimates
- RAISE Grant Application (or similar) for Construction Funding
- Bidding (after all funding is identified)

Due to the regional nature of the Bikeway and its focus on transportation, it has been suggested that Wake County request CAMPO’s management of the design process through completion. If CAMPO agrees to the County’s request, a funding agreement will be needed between the two agencies.

He shared that this is a \$50M project and that they have to be shovel ready.

[\(listen\)](#) He shared that the Senate wants to pay \$3.8M.

Until a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) process is completed, a consultant is selected and staff has a detailed scope proposal, the exact cost of the work for final design (as outlined above) is not known; the current estimate is \$5.25 million. The full design and permitting process is estimated to take between 18 and 24 months. Allocation of funding for the final design will be brought forward to the Board of Commissioners for approval at a future meeting, after a consultant is selected through the RFQ process.

The Open Space and Parks Advisory Committee (OSAPAC) reviewed this project at its March 28, 2022 meeting and voted unanimously to forward the item to the Board of Commissioners for their consideration with two stipulations:

1. NC DOT give their written support/approval of this bikeway in their Right-of-Way, and
2. If the bikeway is designed into Durham County, that Durham County, the DCHC MPO, or others provide some level of funding.

He shared that Wake can apply for federal funds up to 80 percent of the cost of the project.

He shared that the reason to do it now is so that he can raise private money. He has investors that he can tap into for the project. He said today, it's only \$1.4M from Wake County.

He shared it is an Open Space and Greenway Bond.

Commissioner Evans shared that she is in favor of the project. She asked for clarity on significant connections once people arrive in RTP.

Chair Hutchinson shared that there is a greenway system that connects the campuses. RTP campus will be up fitted to connect and improve connectivity.

Commissioner Adamson shared that the timing is wrong and citizens are struggling. She shared that it was under CAMPO previously and now it has become a Wake County project. She shared that Wake County needs regional projects. She said previously investors came shovel ready. She read a statement that is on CAMPO's website. She is not in favor of paying for the design cost. She shared that she will support the \$1.4M that will stay in Wake County. She shared that she will oppose the Parks Bond for Durham County.

Chair Hutchinson shared that this is not the first regional project. He shared additional information on triangle trail initiatives. He shared that 30 percent is from CAMPO. He said that this is a Wake County project and it's important to take the lead and be shovel ready.

Commissioner Adamson asked the price of an electric bicycle.

Chair Hutchinson shared that the return is RTP.

Commissioner Cervania shared comments on how during COVID bike trails were used a great deal. She shared that there is data that people want to be multi-modal. She shared that she is in support of the project.

Commissioner Calabria asked if a greenway can't be a transportation corridor. We are using funds for a greenway project. He shared that he wants to be careful in endorsing permeations. It will defray costs to Wake County with partners. It will unite us in improving the quality of life. He shared that there is nothing about the vote that says that Wake County money is being spent in another county. He shared that this money is earmarked for greenways. He shared that the details are sound. He shared that the stakeholders comments and support is a great idea.

Commissioner West shared comments on programmatic responses.

Chair Hutchinson thanked Commissioner Adamson for her thoughts.

**Chair Hutchinson moved, seconded by Commissioner Calabria, that the Board of Commissioners:**

- 1. Authorize staff to utilize 2018 Parks Greenways Recreation and Open Space bond funding in an amount not to exceed \$1.4 million to complete 100% final design documents for the Eastern section of the Triangle Bikeway; and**
- 2. Authorize the County Manager to formally request CAMPO lead the design effort for the Eastern section of the Triangle Bikeway and develop a funding agreement between Wake County and CAMPO for said design, subject to the terms and conditions acceptable to the County Attorney. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**Aye:** 7 - Chair Hutchinson, Vice-Chair Thomas, Commissioner Adamson, Commissioner Calabria, Commissioner Cervania, Commissioner Evans, and Commissioner West

### **Other Business**

Commissioner Adamson shared that this is National Adopt a Dog month.

Vice-Chair Thomas shared that she had an opportunity to attend a couple of graduations and principals went overboard to make graduation special. She shared that NCPI has free food for the summer for kids. She commended Manager Ellis and Mr. Danya Perry for a great Juneteenth celebration.

Commissioner Cervania went to Laurinburg and Zebulon for the beef tour. She shared that there are great farmers in our area.

Commissioner West shared that the Juneteenth celebration was very well put together.

Chair Hutchinson was at Dix Park celebrating Juneteenth.

Manager Ellis paid tribute to Tim Tuck who is retiring. Ms. Gilyard presented Mr. Tuck a card and token of appreciation for his work with the manager's team.

### **Closed Session**

Mr. Warren, Attorney, shared that there was not a need for a Closed Session.

### **Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 6:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvonne C. Gilyard, NCCC  
Deputy Clerk to the Board